PACIFIC OYSTER EMBRYO RESPONSE TO SEAWATER AND SEDIMENTS FROM THE DUWAMISH RIVER, ELLIOTT BAY, AND CLAM BAY, WASHINGTON

GENERAL APPROACH

The quality of seawater and sediments collected from the upper Duwamish River, Elliott Bay, and Clam Bay, Washington was measured by the Oyster Embryo Bioassay. The development and survival of embryos of the Pacific oyster, Crassostrea gigas, served as the criteria for determining the relative quality of these samples.

METHODS

USEPA SF

Sample Collection -

Sampling was conducted August 12, 1974. Sediment grab samples were collected with a Van Veen dredge or by hand then placed in 1-gal glass jars having no lids, or 1-gal polyethylene jugs having screw caps. Water samples were collected with a PVC Kemmer water sampler or by hand and held in 1-gal polyethylene jugs having screw caps. All samples were transported in ice chests and iced or refrigerated before being assayed.

Sample Preparation

(<u>Water</u>) Prior to assaying, 1000-ml volumes of sample were poured into each of two, 1-liter polyethylene beakers.

(<u>Sediment Samples</u>) Selected sediment samples were prepared for assaying in the following three ways:

- 1. Sediment- 10, 1, 0.1, and 0.01 grams of sediment were separately weighed into each of two, 1-liter polyethylene beakers. The contents of the beakers were brought up to 1000 ml with Clam Bay (Control) seawater.
- 2. Interstitial Water- Sediment was placed in polyethylene containers and centrifuged at 2000 to 8000 RPM for 10 to 50 min at 6 C. The supernate was then filtered through a 0.8 um Millipore HA filter and the filtrate stored in a screw-cap, polyethylene bottle. Prior to assaying,

four decimal dilutions were prepared in duplicate in 1-liter polyethylene beakers by bringing appropriate volumes of interstitial water up to 1000 ml with Clam Bay (Control) seawater.

3. Elutriate Test Filtrate- Sediment was mixed with Elliott
Bay surface water in the manner described in
the Army Corps of Engineers, Elutriate Test
Implementation Guidelines (1974). After
settling, the supernate was centrifuged at
8000 RPM for 10 min at 6 C, filtered through
a 0.8 um Millipore HA filter, and the filtrate
stored in a screw-cap polyethylene bottle.
Decimal dilutions were prepared as described
above for Interstitial Water.

Bioassay Procedure

Samples were assayed August 13, 1974 by the general oyster embryo technique of Woelke (1972). The adult oysters used in the assay were harvested from Waldrip's June 10, 1974 and conditioned by the Washington State Department of Fisheries Shellfish Laboratory, Brinnon, Washington. Efforts to induce spawning in female oysters were unsuccessful. Eggs were obtained, therefore, by removing the tissue covering the ovary of a shucked oyster, placing the oyster in a beaker of 20 C seawater, and allowing ripe eggs to fall freely into the surrounding seawater. When a sufficient number of eggs had been released, they were fertilized by adding about 5 ml of a stripped sperm suspension. Each 1-liter test and control preparation was inoculated with 2.94 X 10 developing embryos. The cultures were covered with brown paper and air-incubated for 48 hours at 18-22 C.

Sediment assays were terminated by carefully vacuuming the larval culture into a flask without disturbing the settled sediment. Ten milliliters of culture were then transferred to screw-cap glass vials and preserved with 5% formalin. All other assays were terminated by pouring the culture into a 1-liter graduated cylinder, removing 10 ml, and preserving it as described above. Normal and abnormal oyster larvae were enumerated under a microscope at 100X.

Per Cent Abnormal Larvae values were based on the proportion of abnormal larvae to the total number of larvae (normal and abnormal) in each sample.

Per Cent Relative Survival values were based on the total number of larvae (normal and abnormal) in each test sample compared with the total number of larvae in the Bioassay Control sample (Clam Bay seawater). Per Cent Net Risk was calculated using the following formula:

Per Cent Net Risk = $\frac{\% \text{ Test Abnormal Larvae} - \% \text{ Control Abnormal}}{100 - \% \text{ Control Abnormal}} \times 100$

Salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen determinations were performed on selected cultures after termination of the assay.

RESULTS and COMMENTS

The response of Pacific oyster embryos to the seawater and sediments assayed is presented in Table 1.

The 8.02% larval abnormality exhibited by embryos exposed to the Bioassay Control (Clam Bay seawater) exceeded the suggested control abnormality rate of 3%. Consequently, the Per Cent Net Risk statistic was applied to the test results to correct for the "excessive" control abnormality.

It is possible that the termination technique of sampling the entire culture resulted in the inclusion of a number of small abnormal larvae that would have been lost had the recommended practice of sampling just those larvae retained by a 35 um screen been followed. In any event, the assay results should be interpreted with care.

Oyster embryo response was, in most cases, characterized by larval abnormality values that were lower than the control value. Larval abnormalities never exceeded Woelke's (1972) single sample marine water quality criterion of 20% abnormality. In addition, when test results were corrected using the Per Cent Net Risk statistic they also complied with the proposed multiple sample quality criterion of 5% abnormality. These results suggest that all of the samples assayed were of relatively good quality; however, the Per Cent Relative Survival figures seem to present a more meaningful picture. Embryo survival in the test preparations was generally good, but never exceeded embryo survival in the Bioassay Control (Clam Bay seawater). Interestingly, the poorest relative embryo survival (12.8% and 22.8%) was observed in the 10 g/1 (wet wt.) preparations of Clam Bay sediment collected at the EPA Manchester Laboratory site. The cause of this poor survival was not determined.

Direct comparison of embryo responses to Duwamish River sediments with those observed by Schink, Westley, and Woelke (1974) was not possible because of the markedly different assay techniques used as well as differences in sampling locations. Embryo response to the Duwamish River (Stations 0-5) Composite sediment sample was, however, quite similar to embryo responses to Duwamish River (Station 2& Station 4) surface sediments assayed June 6, 1973 (Cummins, 1973).

The assay of interstitial water, directly or via the Elutriate Test, did not offer any toxicological advantage during this series of bioassays. This was particularly significant in the case of interstitial waters obtained directly by centrifugation and filtration. In such cases a sediment wet wt. equivalent of about 200 grams was possible in preparations receiving 100 ml of interstitial water. The apparent "non-toxic" character of the interstitial waters may point to the toxicological importance of the adsorbed, absorbed, and slightly soluable sediment constituents that would have been removed during the rigorous centrifugation and filtration process.

Submitted by:

Joseph M. Cummins, Biologist EPA Manchester Laboratory

Manchester, Washington

10/15/74

Table 1. Response of Pacific oyster embryos to water and sediments from the Duwamish River, Elliott Bay, and Clam Bay, Washington.

					SALINITY o/oo	pН	OYSTER EMBRYO RESPONSE Mean % Mean % Mean %			
Number	Location & Type	We		Dry Wt.	m1/1	. 0700				Mean % Relative Survival
1-10	Clam Bay Seawater (BIOASSAY CONTROL					28.9	7.9	8.02		100 ^b .
11-12	Clam Bay Seawater (Carry Along)					28.6	8.0	8.99	1.05	89.4
29-30	Elliott Seawater Bay	(0')				27.2	8.0	7.87	0	77.8
	Duwamish Seawater River Station O	(11')	2624	100		26.0	7.9	4.57	0	69.4
33-34	Duwamish Seawater River Station 1	(18')	251	+ 00		27.2	7.8	5.72	0	72.4
35-36	Duwamish Seawater River Station 3	(18')	223+	+0 0		27.3	7.9	7.17	0	81.9
37-38	Duwamish Seawater River Station 4	(18')	191+8	0		27.8	8.0	3.84	0	95.2
39-40	Duwamish Seawater River Station 5	(21')	165+00	•		27.2	7.9	3.36	0	85.6
41-42	Duwamish Seawater River Stations 0-		site)			27.5	8.0	4.20	0	82.2

Based on Per Cent Solids determinations performed by EPA Laboratory, Redmond, Washington bAssigned a survival value of 100%

Table 1. Continued

	SAMPLE	CONCENTRATION			SALINITY	pН	OYSTER EMBRYO RESPONSE				
umber	Location & Type		Dry Wt.a	m1/1	0/00		Mean % Abnormal Larvae	Mean % Net Risk	Mean % Relative Survival		
1-22	Clam Bay Sediment	10			29.3	8.0	0.00	0	12.8		
:3 - 24 :5 - 26	(Manchester)	1		•			1.92	0	64.3		
.7-26 .7-28		0.1 0.01					7.58 6.93	0 0	65.0 77.5		
3-14	Clam Bay Sediment	10			29.4	7.8	4.95	0	22.8		
5-16	(Manchester)	1					4.72	0	78.5		
7-18	Carry Along	0.1					8.90	0.96	87.1		
9 - 20		0.01				•	9.87	2.01	76.5		
3-44	Duwamish Sediment	10	7.9		29.4	8.0	4.32	0 .	78.5		
	River Station 0	1	0.79				9.95	2.10	70.9		
7-48		0.1	0.079				6.34	0	75.5		
9-50		0.01	0.0079				7.38	0	78.0		
1-52	Duwamish Sediment	10	5.7		29.5	7.9	4.89	0	65.2		
	River Stations 0-5	1	0.57				2.59	0	66.0		
	Composite	0.1	0.057				7.34	0	82.7		
7 - 58		0.01	0.0057				6.12	0	84.4		
9-60	Duwamish River			10 ۱۹۰	29.5	8.0	11.9	4.22	93.4		
	Station 0			1			7.94	0	89.5		
	Interstitial Water			0.1			10.7	2.91	96.9 92		
5-66				0.01		•	8.35	0.36	88.5		
7-68	Duwamish River			100 ۱ ^{0%}	29.4	8.1	6.04	0	80.5		
9-70	Station 1			10	•		6.55	0	79.2		
1-72	Interstitial Water			1			5.42	0	99.6 88.2		
3-74	·			0.1			5.04	0	93.5		

Table 1. Continued

SAMPLE		CONCENTRATION			SALINITY	pН	OYSTER EMBRYO RESPONSE				
Number	Location & Type		Dry Wt.a	m1/1	0/00		Mean % Abnormal Larvae	Mean % Net Risk	Mean % Relative Su		
75-76	Duwamish River			100	29.4	8.0	8.24	0.24	82.4		
77 - 78	Station 3			10			5.95	0	84.4	87.	
79-80	Interstitial Water		•	1			5.74	0	89.3	0 / 1	
81-82				0.1			7.71	0 .	92.2		
83-84	Duwamish River			100	29.5	8.0	3.61	0	88.8		
85-86	Station 4	•		10			5.82	0	79.7	011	
87-88	Interstitial Water			1		•	3.62	0	80.7	34.6	
89-90				0.1		` .	6.50	0	90.5		
91-92	Duwamish River			100	29.4	8.0	5.53	0	77.5		
93-94	Station 5			10			3.11	0	79.0	2.	
95-96	Interstitial Water			1			2.61	0	83.6	, , ,	
97-98				0.1			6.20	0	88.1		
99-100	Duwamish River			100	29.4	8.1	3.72	0	73.4		
	Stations 0-5			10			1.03	0	75.1	78,	
03-104	Interstitial Water			1	•		5.84	0 .	84.9		
05-106	Composite			0.1			6.18	0	79.2		
07-108	Duwamish River			20 290	29.5	8.0	4.71	0	83.4		
09-110	Station 0			2			4.21	0	87.3	82.6	
	Elutriate Test			0.2			6.83	0	81.0	02.0	
13-114	Filtrate			0.02			4.69	0	78.5		
15-116	Duwamish River			200 20%	29.4	8.1	4.76	0	72.8		
17-118	Station 1			20			4.10	0	83.9	81.3	
	Elutriate Test			2			3.64	0	92.5	011	
21-122	Filtrate		•	0.2	•		2.32	0	75.8		

Table 1. Continued

SAMPLE	CONCENTRATIO	SALINITY	pН	OYSTER EMBRYO RESPONSE				
Number Location & Type	g/1 Wet Wt. Dry Wt.a	<u>m1/1</u>	0/00		Mean % Abnormal Larvae	Mean % Net Risk	Mean % Relative Survival	
123-124 Duwamish River		200	29.3	8.0	6.71	0	86.3	
125-126 Station 3		20			4.64	0	69.2 7C	
127-128 Elutriate Test		2			3.51	0	84.4 79,1	
129-130 Filtrate		0.2			4.68	0	78.3	
131-132 Duwamish River		200	29.1	8.0	2.64	0	80.4	
133-134 Station 4		20			2.25	0	73.1 72.0	
135-136 Elutriate Test		2			1.57	0	77.8	
137-138 Filtrate		0.2			4.61	0	60.1	
139-140 Duwamish River		200	29.3	8.0	2.28	0	75.5	
141-142 Station 5		20			2.39	0	72.9	
143-144 Elutriate Test		2	•		1.45	0	84.4	
145-146 Filtrate		0.2			3.09	0	70.4	
147-148 Duwamish River		200	29.4	8.0	5.60	0	78.8	
149-150 Stations 0-5		20			4.70	0	72.8 73.	
151-152 Elutriate Test		2			5.40	0	72.3	
153-154 Filtrate Composite		0.2			3.07	0	70.4	

REFERENCES

Army Corps of Engineers. 1974. Elutriate test implementation guidelines, ocean dumping criteria for dredged material. Department of the Army Regulation No. 1130-2-408. (Typed), 6 p.

Cummins, J.M. 1973. Effects of Duwamish River bottom sediments on Pacific oyster embryos. EPA Memorandum. (Typed), 6 p.

Schink, T.D., R.E. Westley, and C.E. Woelke. 1974. Pacific oyster embryo bioassays of bottom sediments from Washington waters. Washington State Department of Fisheries Report. 24 p.

Woelke, C.E. 1972. Development of a receiving water quality criterion based on the 48-hour Pacific oyster (<u>Crassostrea gigas</u>) embryo. Washington State Department of Fisheries, Technical Report No. 9, 93 p.